



***Voyager Passport™* Vital Indicators of  
Progress® Passage Readability  
(Technical Report No. 6)**

**2009**

**Prepared by:**

**Julia A. Peyton, Ph.D.  
Vice President, Research and Development**

**And**

**Janet R. Macpherson, Ph.D.  
Program Research Analyst**

Citation: Peyton, J. A., & Macpherson, J. R. (2009). *Voyager Passport Vital Indicators of Progress Passage Readability* (Technical Report No. 6). Dallas, TX: Voyager Expanded Learning.

## Introduction

Voyager Expanded Learning provides Vital Indicators of Progress® (VIP®) which are fluency assessment measures to conduct Universal Screening and Progress Monitoring in reading. The use of these measures enables teachers to identify students who are in need of intervention and to monitor the effectiveness of the intervention provided in a very efficient manner. Frequent progress monitoring makes it possible to target instruction appropriately for students and to be more intentional about instructional adjustments that can improve outcomes for students.

Fluency in reading refers to a level of accuracy and rate where decoding is relatively effortless and where oral reading is smooth and accurate with correct expression (Wolf, 2001). Fluency is a key component of successful reading. Fluency and comprehension, although separate processes (Wolfe & Nevills, 2004), are mutually intertwined. That is, fluency is both a cause and a consequence of comprehension.

Reading fluency measures are the foundation of the VIP. Oral reading fluency, as measured by the VIP measure Reading Connected Text (RCT), is based on the work on Curriculum-Based Measurement by Stan Deno and colleagues through the Institute for Research on Learning Disabilities, University of Minnesota and developed by Dr. Roland Good and colleagues at the University of Oregon. Oral reading fluency is a quick, reliable measure that correlates highly with reading comprehension (Deno, 1985).

This report describes the passages available to assess student oral reading fluency using the VIP RCT measure. We provide a description of how the passages were developed and the way in which readability formulas were utilized to ensure approximate consistency of passage difficulty. This report contains a list of titles and respective readability information for the passages available in Voyager Passport™. Finally, this report briefly looks at how readability is defined and calculated.

## Passage Development

Passages provided in the VIP RCT measure were designed and contracted by Dr. Roland Good and colleagues at the University of Oregon. The process described here is similar to the process reported in Technical Report No. 10 (Good & Kaminski, 2002). The passages were generated in sets 24 or 32 passages for each targeted grade level. Three passages were developed per benchmark and an additional 18 to 20 passages were developed for progress monitoring for each grade level. The VIP RCT passages were developed and refined as a group to obtain approximate equivalence across the benchmark assessments and with the progress monitoring assessments.

The VIP RCT passages were also developed to be equivalent to the DIBELS Oral Reading Fluency (DORF™) passages to aid in interpretation and so that reliability, validity, and utility information could be built jointly. An initial step was to develop 61 passages and compute an overall readability of the passages using the procedure to be described next. Passages were matched on overall readability and randomly assigned to be VIP RCT or DORF passages. All random assignment was done using the rand() function in Microsoft® Excel 2000.

The first step in developing the passages was to write appropriate short passages of approximately correct difficulty. The passages were then edited for appropriate content and grammar. Criteria for passage development included the following instructions:

1. Passages should be sensitive and respectful to all groups and subgroups.
2. Passages should be grammatically correct with mature phrasing and conventional sentence structure. That is, avoid colloquialisms, slang, dialect, and creative or unusual sentence structures.
3. Passages should flow rather than being abrupt and staccato.
4. Passages should represent a gentle, positive, friendly, moral tone (without being preachy). For example, if a passage is about bike riding, they wear a helmet. Character's try to be friendly, etc.

5. Passages that include issues of diversity in terms of SES, disability, race, ethnicity, family structure, background, culture, urban/rural, etc. are appreciated and valued (keeping in mind #6 and #9).
6. A mixture, about 60% expository and 40% narrative passages. (23-24 expository passages and 16-17 narrative passages per grade.)
7. Dates and Numbers: Many themes will naturally lead to dates and numbers. We should avoid or minimize their use.
8. Diversity: Diversity is always important to include and represent without being artificial or condescending. We should try to represent issues of disability, different family structure, urban/rural/inner city, and culture.
9. Use proper names sparsely and carefully, especially in expository passages. For example, a passage about electricity might mention Thomas Edison. In other passages proper names should represent diverse cultural, racial, ethnic groups and be pretty regular, that is, letters and letter sequences should be easily pronounceable.

In the next step, the readability of all passages was estimated using the Micro Power & Light readability software (Micro Power & Light Co, 2000a; 2002b). All readability estimates were computed, including Dale-Chall, Flesch, FOG, Powers\*, SMOG, FORCAST, Frye, and Spache. The TASA DRP was available with the Micro Power & Light readability software when the passages for first through third grades were constructed. The DRP was no longer available when the passages for fourth and fifth grades were constructed. The Spache readability was used to revise and refine passages to keep the Spache readability in targeted ranges for grades 1 and 2. For grades 3 through 5, Dale-Chall was used to revise and refine passages. As will be discussed later, readabilities varied substantially and dramatically across the different readability formulas. A passage may have a Spache readability of 2.3, a Flesch readability of 1.0, and a FORCAST readability of 7.5.

When the readability of a passage being developed was lower or higher than the targeted difficulty, such as higher than 2.4 for a first grade passage, the passage was revised using one or more of several techniques that would change the readability of the passage. Such techniques included substituting a one- or two-syllable word for a multi-syllable word, substituting more

high frequency words for potentially difficult words, and/or breaking longer sentences into shorter sentences.

Once passages were revised to meet readability criteria, passages were assigned to be a benchmark passage or a progress monitoring passage using the following procedure. First, an average readability index was computed using all of the available readability information. First, the mean and standard deviation of all the passages were computed for each of the nine readability indices for first through third grades and eight readability indices for fourth and fifth grades. Next, an unweighted average of the readabilities was computed by transforming each readability index to a z score ( $M = 0, SD = 1$ ). The resulting z scores were then averaged to obtain an overall estimate of relative readability. The resulting overall estimate of relative readability is reported in the column labeled "Average" in the Tables 1 through 10 presented later in this document.

Next, all passages in each grade level were arranged in order of increasing difficulty in terms of readability. The set of passages was then divided into three sets, an easier, medium, and harder set. Three passages were selected from the middle of each set and were randomly assigned to the first, second, and third benchmarks for grades two through five. First grade only had random assignments for the second and third benchmarks. The remaining passages were assigned to be progress monitoring passages. For each grade level, the first two progress monitoring passages were from the medium set, then the rest of the passages were filled in with triads that contained one randomly selected easier passage, one randomly selected medium passage, and one randomly selected harder passage. The triads were sequenced with the easier passage first, then the medium passage, and then the harder passage. This ensured an element of randomness to the placement of the passages within the progress monitoring passages.

## VIP RCT Passages

The VIP RCT passages for grades 1 through 5 are presented in the following tables, Tables 1 through 10. Each passage description includes the name of the passage, where it is used in the Use column, the z score for that passage or group of passages in the Average column, followed by the eight or nine readability indices. There are several rows that provide a mean of three benchmark passages, all benchmark passages, the progress monitoring passages, and all passages for that grade level. Notice that for each grade level, the mean for all passages is 0.0.

Table 1.

### *Readability Estimates and Recommended use for First Grade Benchmark Oral Reading Fluency Passages*

Passage	Use	Average	Spache	Dale-Chall	Flesch	FOG	Powers	SMOG	FORCAST	Fry	TASA DRP
My Goldfish	BM2.1	-0.7	2.2	4.5	0.9	3.4	3.6	4.8	7.3	1.5	37
The Sidewalk Art Show	BM2.2	-0.1	2.1	4.1	1.3	3.7	3.8	5.5	7.5	2.0	44
The Library	BM2.3	0.6	2.3	4.1	2.3	5.7	3.9	6.5	7.0	3.0	41
Mean Benchmark 2		-0.1	2.2	4.2	1.5	4.3	3.8	5.6	7.3	2.2	40.7
Our Cat Family	BM3.1	-0.3	1.9	4.1	1.5	4.1	3.7	4.6	6.9	2.2	40
The Fair	BM3.2	-0.1	2.0	4.5	1.6	4.1	3.8	5.4	7.5	2.3	41
The Fourth of July	BM3.3	0.1	2.2	4.1	1.7	5.0	4.0	6.4	7.7	2.1	39
Mean Benchmark 3		-0.1	2.0	4.2	1.6	4.4	3.8	5.5	7.4	2.2	40.0
Mean All Benchmarks		-0.1	2.1	4.2	1.6	4.3	3.8	5.5	7.3	2.2	40.3

Table 2

*Readability Estimates and Recommended use for First Grade Progress Monitoring Oral Reading Fluency Passages*

Passage	Use	Average	Spache	Dale-Chall	Flesch	FOG	Powers	SMOG	FORCAST	Fry	TASA DRP
My First Bike	PM1	-0.2	2.1	4.8	1.8	3.7	3.9	4.5	7.8	2.4	39
Visiting Grandma and Grandpa	PM2	0.0	2.3	4.4	1.7	3.7	3.9	5.3	8.0	2.2	42
The Hockey Game	PM3	0.5	2.3	4.5	2.4	4.6	4.0	6.2	7.6	3.0	43
A Pet for the Summer	PM4	-0.4	2.2	4.2	1.0	4.0	3.6	4.6	6.5	1.9	40
My Big Brother	PM5	0.5	2.3	4.6	2.2	5.2	3.9	6.2	7.1	2.9	42
My Haircut	PM6	-0.9	2.3	4.1	0.5	3.4	3.5	4.7	6.7	1.5	36
Making Brownies	PM7	-0.5	2.2	4.6	1.1	3.7	3.7	5.2	7.3	1.6	38
The Starry Night	PM8	-0.1	2.3	4.7	1.5	3.7	3.8	4.5	7.5	2.2	43
The Lake	PM9	-0.6	2.1	4.3	0.9	3.5	3.6	4.9	7.0	1.8	39
My Fort	PM10	-0.4	2.2	4.2	1.3	4.1	3.6	4.6	6.4	1.9	40
Our Town	PM11	0.0	2.3	4.4	1.2	4.3	3.7	5.5	6.9	2.0	44
My Magic Trick	PM13	0.6	2.2	4.7	2.6	4.6	4.1	5.3	8.2	3.1	43
My Grandpa's Parade	PMSUP1	0.3	4.1	1.6	4.3	3.9	5.7	7.5	2.1	2.1	40
Grandma's House	PMSUP2	-0.2	4.1	1.0	3.1	3.7	4.0	7.5	1.8	2.2	40
The Yard Sale	PMSUP3	0.5	4.6	2.1	4.9	3.8	4.6	6.7	2.9	2.3	44
The Zoo Birthday Party	PMSUP4	0.7	4.6	2.2	4.0	4.1	5.7	8.6	2.7	2.3	42
The Dentist	PMSUP5	-0.2	4.2	0.8	3.6	3.5	4.5	6.7	0.9	2.3	40
Night Crawlers	PMSUP6	0.5	4.8	1.9	4.0	3.9	4.5	7.4	2.5	2.2	45
Having Company	PMSUP7	0.2	4.4	1.3	4.1	3.8	5.4	7.3	1.9	2.2	40
The River Raft	PMSUP8	0.0	4.8	1.0	3.7	3.7	5.2	7.3	1.9	2.2	37
Mean Progress Monitoring		0.0	3.1	3.3	2.5	4.0	4.3	6.0	5.2	2.2	40.9
Mean All Passages		0.0	2.9	3.5	2.3	4.0	4.1	5.9	5.7	2.2	40.7
SD All Passages		0.4	1.1	1.4	1.3	0.6	0.7	1.2	2.5	0.4	2.4

Table 3

## Readability Estimates and Recommended use for Second Grade Benchmark Oral Reading Fluency Passages

Passage	Use	Average	Spache	Dale-Chall	Flesch	FOG	Powers	SMOG	FORCAST	Fry	TASA DRP
My New Glasses	BM1.1	-0.6	2.6	4.6	2.4	4.4	4.0	5.7	7.4	3.1	44
The Way We Got Our Pet	BM1.2	0.0	2.5	4.8	3.3	5.8	4.3	6.7	8.0	3.9	44
Good Manners	BM1.3	0.4	2.7	4.5	3.9	5.9	4.5	6.5	8.5	4.7	48
Mean Benchmark 1		-0.1	2.6	4.6	3.2	5.4	4.3	6.3	8.0	3.9	45.3
The Guide Dog	BM2.1	-0.3	2.5	4.5	2.8	4.9	4.1	5.3	7.7	3.5	47
Washing Our Clothes	BM2.2	0.0	2.7	4.4	2.8	5.9	4.1	6.6	7.4	3.3	48
Playing Street Ball	BM2.3	0.3	2.7	4.7	3.2	6.4	4.3	7.6	8.0	3.8	47
Mean Benchmark 2		0.0	2.6	4.5	2.9	5.7	4.2	6.5	7.7	3.5	47.3
The Bakery	BM3.1	-0.5	2.7	4.4	2.6	5.1	4.0	5.8	7.4	3.1	44
Our Family Reunion	BM3.2	-0.2	2.7	4.7	2.7	5.6	4.2	6.7	7.7	3.1	45
Mornings at Our House	BM3.3	0.4	2.6	4.7	3.8	6.1	4.5	6.8	8.3	4.5	48
Mean Benchmark 3		-0.1	2.7	4.6	3.0	5.6	4.2	6.4	7.8	3.6	45.7
Mean All Benchmarks		0.0	2.6	4.6	3.1	5.6	4.2	6.4	7.8	6.7	46.1

Table 4

*Readability Estimates and Recommended use for Second Grade Progress Monitoring Oral Reading Fluency Passages*

Passage	Use	Average	Spache	Dale-Chall	Flesch	FOG	Powers	SMOG	FORCAST	Fry	TASA DRP
The Shopping Mall	PM1	0.1	2.7	5.2	2.9	5.6	4.2	7.1	7.4	3.6	46
The Old Clothes Box	PM2	-0.2	2.6	4.6	2.7	5.5	4.1	6.6	7.4	3.4	45
Treasures on the Beach	PM3	-0.4	2.7	4.3	2.8	4.6	4.2	5.9	7.9	3.4	45
Reading the Sunday Comics	PM4	0.6	2.5	4.7	4.3	5.5	4.7	7.0	9.0	5.2	50
Our Camping Trip	PM5	0.0	2.4	4.6	2.9	5.8	4.2	7.0	7.9	3.4	46
Going to the Drive-In Movie	PM6	-0.3	2.6	4.5	2.9	4.7	4.2	5.5	8.3	3.5	46
I had the Chicken Pox	PM7	-1.0	2.4	4.5	1.9	4.3	3.8	4.8	7.2	2.5	42
Sleeping Over	PM8	0.2	2.7	4.5	3.3	5.7	4.3	6.5	7.9	4.1	49
The Lost Wallet	PM9	0.1	2.5	4.3	3.4	5.9	4.3	6.5	8.1	3.9	47
Having a Field Day	PM10	-0.6	2.4	4.6	2.4	5.2	3.9	5.1	6.8	3.0	44
Snowflakes	PM11	0.2	2.6	4.5	3.4	5.4	4.4	6.6	8.6	3.9	49
A Rainbow of People	PM12	1.1	2.7	5.3	4.5	6.6	4.8	7.4	9.0	5.8	52
My Grandma has a New Home	PM13	0.0	2.5	4.7	3.0	5.6	4.2	6.7	7.7	3.8	46
Noises in the Night	PMSUP1	-0.5	4.5	2.5	4.4	4.0	5.2	7.4	3.1	2.5	46
I Love Women's Basketball	PMSUP2	0.2	4.9	3.0	6.2	4.3	7.5	7.9	3.2	2.6	46
Leaves	PMSUP3	-0.2	4.6	2.6	5.0	4.0	5.3	7.4	3.2	2.6	50
The Flea Market	PMSUP4	0.3	4.6	3.5	6.5	4.3	6.9	7.6	4.1	2.5	48
Story Time	PMSUP5	-0.2	4.4	3.1	5.3	4.2	6.3	8.0	3.8	2.4	43
Learning Kung Fu	PMSUP6	0.1	5.9	2.8	5.6	4.2	7.3	7.9	3.1	2.7	45
Learning to Write Cursive	PMSUP7	0.6	4.8	4.2	5.8	4.6	6.8	9.0	4.9	2.5	49
Springtime is for the Birds	PMSUP8	0.2	4.6	3.1	4.5	4.3	5.9	8.2	3.8	2.6	52
Mean Progress Monitoring		0.0	3.4	4.1	4.0	5.0	5.1	7.0	6.3	3.3	47.0
Mean All Passages		0.0	3.2	4.2	3.7	5.2	4.8	6.8	6.8	3.4	46.7
SD All Passages		0.4	1.0	0.8	1.2	0.8	1.1	1.0	2.0	0.8	2.5

Table 5.

*Readability Estimates and Recommended use for Third Grade Benchmarks Oral Reading Fluency Passages*

Passage	Use	Average	Dale-Chall	Flesch	FOG	Powers	SMOG	FORCAST	Fry	Spache	TASA DRP
Breakfast in Bed	BM1.1	-0.7	4.4	3.9	5.5	4.5	6.5	8.3	4.6	2.8	50
When the Power Fails	BM1.2	-0.1	4.9	3.8	5.9	4.5	6.9	8.5	4.5	3.1	49
Art Beneath Our Feet	BM1.3	0.6	4.7	4.4	7.2	4.6	7.9	8.4	5.2	3.0	53
Mean Benchmark 1		-0.1	4.7	4.0	6.2	4.5	7.1	8.4	4.8	3.0	50.7
Sick in Bed	BM2.1	-0.8	4.5	4.0	6.2	4.5	6.6	8.3	4.6	2.8	45
Playing Cards on the Computer	BM2.2	0.2	5.3	3.9	7.6	4.4	7.9	7.6	4.7	3.0	49
The Girlfriends' Lemonade Stand	BM2.3	0.8	4.8	4.6	7.3	4.6	7.8	8.4	5.5	3.1	51
Mean Benchmark 2		0.1	4.9	4.2	7.0	4.5	7.4	8.1	4.9	3.0	48.3
The Fall Festival	BM3.1	-0.8	5.1	3.4	5.9	4.2	6.3	7.7	4.0	3.1	48
I'm the Big Sister	BM3.2	-0.2	4.6	4.1	6.7	4.5	7.5	8.2	4.9	2.8	50
Summer Art Class	BM3.3	0.6	5.0	4.6	6.7	4.6	7.1	8.5	5.2	3.0	52
Mean Benchmark 3		-0.1	4.9	4.0	6.4	4.4	7.0	8.1	4.7	3.0	50.0
Mean All Benchmarks		0.0	4.8	4.1	6.6	4.5	7.2	8.2	4.8	3.0	49.7

Table 6.

*Readability Estimates and Recommended use for Third Grade Progress Monitoring Oral Reading Fluency Passages*

Passage	Use	Average	Dale-Chall	Flesch	FOG	Powers	SMOG	FORCAST	Fry	Spache	TASA DRP
Our Family has Two Cell Phones	PM1	-0.4	4.5	4.1	7.1	4.4	7.2	7.7	5.0	2.9	48
The Train Ride	PM2	0.2	4.7	4.3	6.6	4.5	6.7	8.1	5.1	3.1	52
Time for Spring	PM3	-0.9	4.9	3.4	6.1	4.3	6.9	7.9	4.1	2.8	49
The Family Reunion	PM4	0.3	4.8	4.2	6.5	4.6	7.3	8.3	5.0	3.1	51
Camping in our Backyard	PM5	0.5	5.0	4.4	6.2	4.7	7.6	8.7	5.4	2.8	52
Making Snow Ice Cream	PM6	-1.7	4.9	3.1	5.8	4.1	5.6	7.1	3.9	2.9	46
Sleeping in a Tent	PM7	-0.3	4.8	4.0	5.7	4.4	6.0	8.3	4.8	3.0	52
The Skateboard Park	PM8	0.4	5.3	4.1	7.3	4.6	8.0	8.3	4.9	2.8	50
The Miniature Golf Party	PM9	0.3	5.4	4.2	6.9	4.5	7.2	7.8	5.0	3.0	50
How Animals Get Ready for Winter	PM10	1.1	5.1	4.7	7.0	4.7	7.4	8.7	5.8	3.0	55
Playing Soccer on Our School Team	PM12	-0.5	5.3	3.7	6.4	4.3	6.7	7.6	4.5	2.9	49
A Weekend with Grandma and Grandpa	PM11	-0.3	4.7	3.7	5.9	4.4	6.6	8.3	4.5	3.1	50
The Clubhouse	PM10	0.5	5.1	4.4	6.1	4.6	7.0	8.6	5.0	3.1	51
The White River	PMSUP1	-0.8	5.4	3.3	5.9	4.2	6.3	7.8	4.0	3.0	47
Fossil Collection	PMSUP2	1.4	5.2	5.1	8.1	4.7	8.2	8.3	6.3	3.0	51
We Recycle at Our House	PMSUP3	1.0	5.5	4.4	7.5	4.6	8.4	8.3	5.0	3.1	50
The Food Drive	PMSUP4	0.8	4.7	4.8	6.8	4.7	7.9	8.3	6.1	2.9	53
Collecting Rocks	PMSUP5	-0.6	4.9	3.6	6.1	4.3	6.5	7.8	4.4	3.0	49
The Homeless Kitty	PMSUP6	0.0	4.8	4.2	6.7	4.5	7.4	7.9	5.0	2.9	50
Beachcombing	PMSUP7	-0.4	4.9	3.6	6.1	4.4	6.7	7.9	4.1	3.0	52
Looking at the Sky	PMSUP8	-0.4	5.2	3.8	6.6	4.4	7.3	7.8	4.7	2.8	48
High Tea (The Ladies Tea Party)	PMSUP9	0.3	4.9	4.2	5.9	4.6	7.3	8.7	5.0	3.1	49
Mean Progress Monitoring		0.0	5.0	4.1	6.5	4.5	7.1	8.1	4.9	3.0	50.2
Mean All Passages		0.0	5.0	4.1	6.5	4.5	7.1	8.1	4.9	3.0	50.0
SD All Passages		0.7	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.2	0.7	0.4	0.6	0.1	2.1

Table 7.

*Readability Estimates and Recommended use for Fourth Grade Benchmarks Oral Reading Fluency Passages*

Passage	Use	Average	Dale-Chall	Flesch	FOG	Powers	SMOG	FORCAST	Fry	Spache
Sales Clerk	BM1.1	-0.3	5.2	5.8	11.8	5.0	8.2	9.0	6.7	3.4
What a Librarian's Day Is Like	BM1.2	0.0	5.3	6.2	13.1	5.2	9.0	9.1	7.1	3.3
Elisha Otis, Inventor	BM1.3	0.9	6.5	6.3	14.1	5.4	9.2	9.9	7.8	3.4
Mean Benchmark 1		0.2	5.7	6.1	13.0	5.2	8.8	9.3	7.2	3.4
The Hollywood Wax Museum	BM2.1	-0.5	5.5	5.1	11.8	5.0	7.9	9.9	6.1	3.3
The Farmer and the Travelers	BM2.2	0.0	5.5	6.5	13.9	5.1	9.4	8.7	7.4	3.2
Classical Music	BM2.3	1.1	6.5	6.3	17.2	5.5	9.6	10.5	8.0	3.2
Mean Benchmark 2		0.2	5.8	6.0	14.3	5.2	9.0	9.7	7.2	3.2
The Pentathlon	BM3.1	-0.5	6.7	5.0	11.8	4.9	8.0	9.1	6.3	3.2
The Mystery of Stonehenge	BM3.2	-0.1	6.0	5.7	12.4	5.1	8.3	9.5	6.9	3.3
Country Music	BM3.3	1.1	6.1	6.6	14	5.6	8.9	10.8	8.2	3.4
Mean Benchmark 3		0.2	6.3	5.8	12.7	5.2	8.4	9.8	7.1	3.3
Mean All Benchmarks		0.2	5.9	5.9	13.3	5.2	8.7	9.6	7.2	3.3

Table 8.

*Readability Estimates and Recommended use for Fourth Grade Progress Monitoring Oral Reading Fluency Passages*

Passage	Use	Average	Dale-Chall	Flesch	FOG	Powers	SMOG	FORCAST	Fry	Spache
The Three Rules	PM1	-1.6	5.1	4.8	9.3	4.6	7.5	8.2	5.3	3.2
Swimming with Dolphins	PM2	-0.3	6.4	6.2	9.8	5.1	7.1	9.7	7.1	3.2
China's Great Wall	PM3	0.1	5.7	5.8	12.3	5.1	8.8	9.4	6.8	3.4
Kari and the Jet-Pack Flyers	PM4	-1.2	6.4	4.7	8.2	4.7	6.9	8.9	5.5	3.2
The First Step toward Mars	PM5	-0.2	6.0	5.5	9.2	5.0	7.2	9.8	6.6	3.5
What Is an Estuary?	PM6	0.1	6.2	5.8	10.4	5.2	8.8	9.6	7.1	3.3
The Mayan Pyramids	PM7	-0.7	5.9	4.7	10.1	4.8	7.8	9.3	5.5	3.4
A Day with a Nature Guide	PM8	-0.2	5.1	6.1	12.5	5.1	8.6	9.2	7.0	3.3
Fish Schools	BM9	0.1	5.4	6.1	12.7	5.2	8.6	9.3	7.3	3.4
Mesa Verde National Park	PM10	-0.5	6.0	5.4	9.4	5.0	7.6	9.7	6.2	3.3
A Day in Colonial Williamsburg	PM11	-0.2	5.3	5.7	13.8	5.1	9.0	8.8	6.9	3.3
The Henry Ford Museum	PM12	0.3	5.8	5.9	12.6	5.2	8.7	9.6	7.2	3.4
My Robot	PM13	0.4	6.1	5.8	13.7	5.1	9.0	9.1	7.0	3.5
The Space Age	PMSUP1	1.4	6.4	7.2	13.9	5.6	9.3	10.7	8.5	3.4
Exploring the Great Barrier Reef	PMSUP2	-1.1	6.0	4.5	10.8	4.8	7.8	9.0	5.0	3.2
What Is a Democracy?	PMSUP3	1.2	6.8	6.6	17.5	5.6	9.8	9.8	8.5	3.2
My First Concert	PMSUP4	-0.7	5.4	5.5	10.7	4.9	7.9	8.7	6.6	3.3
Jonah's Strange New Home	PMSUP5	-0.3	6.4	5.6	10.5	5.0	7.8	9.3	6.7	3.3
The Band	PMSUP6	-0.2	6.2	6.1	10.6	5.0	8.1	8.6	6.9	3.4
Keegan Reilly: An Everyday Hero	PMSUP7	0.3	6.5	5.9	11.7	5.2	8.2	9.8	7.1	3.4
Local Government	PMSUP8	1.5	6.4	6.6	15.8	5.6	9.3	10.7	8.0	3.5
Mean Progress Monitoring		-0.1	6.0	5.7	11.7	5.1	8.3	9.4	6.8	3.3
Mean All Passages		0.0	6.0	5.8	12.2	5.1	8.4	9.5	6.9	3.3
SD All Passages		0.76	0.5	0.7	2.3	0.3	0.8	0.6	0.9	0.1

Table 9.

*Readability Estimates and Recommended use for Fifth Grade Benchmarks Oral Reading Fluency Passages*

Passage	Use	Average	Dale-Chall	Flesch	FOG	Powers	SMOG	FORCAST	Fry	Spache
An Ancient System of Communication	BM1.1	-0.3	5.8	6.4	12.4	5.3	8.7	9.4	7.4	3.7
A Musical Wonder	BM1.2	0.2	6.2	6.7	14.8	5.3	9.7	9.2	7.6	3.8
The Bill of Rights	BM1.3	0.5	6.4	7.2	16.2	5.6	10.0	9.9	8.2	3.6
Mean Benchmark 1		0.1	6.1	6.8	14.5	5.4	9.5	9.5	7.7	3.7
The Best Concert Ever	BM2.1	-0.8	5.5	6.3	10.4	5.1	8.0	8.9	7.1	3.7
The Lighthouse at Alexandria	BM2.2	0.2	6.5	6.6	10.7	5.4	8.4	10.0	8.0	3.8
Thomas Jefferson's Home Office	BM2.3	0.7	6.1	7.3	15.7	5.6	10.0	9.9	8.2	3.8
Mean Benchmark 2		0.0	6.0	6.7	12.3	5.4	8.8	9.6	7.8	3.8
Chefs to the Rescue	BM3.1	-0.6	6.1	6.0	13.0	5.1	8.7	9.1	6.9	3.6
The Great Pyramid	BM3.2	0.0	6.5	6.5	14.4	5.2	9.5	9.2	7.5	3.7
Ice Skater for Life	BM3.3	1.0	6.7	7.7	15.6	5.6	10.4	9.8	8.6	3.8
Mean Benchmark 3		0.1	6.4	6.7	14.3	5.3	9.5	9.4	7.7	3.7
Mean All Benchmarks		0.1	6.2	6.7	13.7	5.4	9.3	9.5	7.7	3.7

Table 10.

*Readability Estimates and Recommended use for Fifth Grade Progress Monitoring Oral Reading Fluency Passages*

Passage	Use	Average	Dale-Chall	Flesch	FOG	Powers	SMOG	FORCAST	Fry	Spache
Let's Go Crabbing	PM1	-1.4	5.6	5.6	9.2	4.8	6.9	8.6	6.4	3.7
An Ancient Community	PM2	-0.1	6.1	6.6	11.0	5.3	8.6	10.0	7.6	3.7
The Lost Temple	PM3	0.2	6.6	6.7	11.7	5.3	8.5	9.7	7.6	3.9
Bent's Old Fort	PM4	-0.8	5.3	5.8	11.0	5.0	8.2	9.0	6.8	3.8
The Ocean Floor	BM5	-0.1	6.5	6.9	11.4	5.3	8.2	9.6	7.6	3.7
The First Grocery Cart	PM6	0.4	6.1	7.4	11.5	5.6	9.4	10.4	8.5	3.6
No More Muddy Shoes	PM7	-1.1	5.7	5.9	9.8	5.0	7.4	9.1	6.8	3.6
The Best Career of All	PM8	-0.1	6.2	6.6	14.4	5.4	9.0	9.6	7.5	3.6
A Most Amazing Musician	PM9	1.0	6.4	7.3	15.3	5.6	9.9	10.1	8.9	3.9
The Building that Looks Like a Snail	PM10	0.0	6.4	6.5	9.1	5.3	7.3	10.2	7.5	3.9
The Frog Prince	PM11	-0.7	5.5	6.9	10.7	5.2	7.7	9.1	7.4	3.6
A Walk on the Moon	PM12	0.1	6.0	6.6	13.0	5.4	9.0	10.3	7.9	3.7
A Plastic Wonder	PM13	2.0	7.6	8.3	19.4	5.9	11.0	10.4	9.7	3.8
Trip to Green Star	PMSUP1	-1.1	5.9	5.7	10.1	4.9	7.6	8.9	6.7	3.6
Danger in the Arctic	PMSUP2	-0.7	6.2	6.7	12.1	5.0	8.5	7.9	7.1	3.7
Launching a Dream	PMSUP3	0.1	5.5	7.3	13.0	5.5	9.0	9.8	8.0	3.7
Feeding the Rays	PMSUP4	-1.7	5.7	5.3	7.5	4.7	5.6	8.5	6.2	3.7
A Holiday Greeting	PMSUP5	0.4	6.0	6.8	14.8	5.5	9.6	10.0	7.9	3.8
A Man with a Vision	PMSUP6	0.5	6.7	7.1	14.4	5.4	9.9	9.3	7.9	3.8
Planning an Exhibit	PMSUP7	0.7	6.8	7.5	15.0	5.5	10.0	9.5	7.9	3.8
The Branches of Our Government	PMSUP8	1.6	6.5	7.6	17.8	5.9	10.4	10.6	9.5	3.9
Mean Progress Monitoring		0.0	6.2	6.7	12.5	5.3	8.7	9.6	7.7	3.7
Mean All Passages		0.0	6.2	6.7	12.9	5.3	8.8	9.5	7.7	3.7
SD All Passages		0.8	0.5	0.7	2.8	0.3	1.2	0.6	0.8	0.1

## Readability Formulas

The intent of sequencing the passages in the manner described above was to create a set of probes that were approximately equivalent to each other so that increases in student scores can be tied to an increase in student skill, rather than to differences in relative difficulty of the passages. Readability formulas have been criticized since the 1920s (Fry, 2002) and explain only about 30% of the variance in student performance (Good & Kaminski, 2002). A brief description of readability formulas illustrates the difficulties of determining text difficulty.

Generally, three different aspects of text are used in readability formulas, sentence complexity, word length, and word frequency or rare words. Sentence complexity includes things such as the words per sentence; number of characters per sentence; number of syllables per sentence; number of words with 7 or more letters per sentence, and so on. Word length can include things such as characters per word; proportion of words with 3, 6, or 7 or more characters; syllables per word; proportion of words with 2, 3, or more syllables, and so on. Word frequency or rare words uses the concept of the number of words, such as text with lots of low frequency words will be harder or the number words that are rare or not found on a particular word list will mean the text is more difficult. Table 1 shows which aspects are used in the most of the readability formulas used with the VIP RCT passages.

Table 11.  
*Readability Formulas Use of Passage Aspects*

Readability Formulas	Sentence Difficulty		Word Length			Word Frequency	
	Words per sentence	# of syllables per sentence	Syllables per word	Proportion of words with 2 or more syllables	Proportion of words with 3 or more syllables	Word Frequency	Proportion of rare words
Dale-Chall	X						X
Flesch	X		X				
FOG	X				X		
Powers	X		X				
SMOG					X		
FORCAST				X			
Fry	X	X					
Spache	X						X

Adapted from *Understanding Text Difficulty in Measuring Students' Fluency and Comprehension* (Good, 2006).

There are other things that cannot be accounted for in readability formulas. These include things that are remarkably difficult to count, such as the proportion of decodable words in a passage. Decodable words are defined differently at different points in a particular curriculum and differently for various curricula making it even more difficult to absolutely define. Other features of text that are very hard to count include how well the text “behaves,” whether sentences flow and build meaning, predictability, whether new words and concepts are explained or illustrated, and whether the text is choppy and disjointed or not.

There are also things about readability of a passage that just cannot be counted. These include, but are not limited to, background knowledge; experience with the passage topic; vocabulary knowledge; curriculum emphasis that may provide unintended information about the passage; context of reading the passage; and, perhaps most import, student interest in the passage topic.

For these reasons and others, it is understandable why readability formulas account for only 30% of the variance in student performance (Good & Kaminski, 2002). Using the process described in this report for the development and assignment of passages for the VIP RCT measures, however, provides a pragmatic approach to controlling for text difficulty when developing passages used to measure oral reading fluency.

## **Conclusion**

This report provides specific information regarding the development of the 147 VIP RCT passages used to measure oral reading fluency in Voyager Passport. Even though the use of readability formulas in the process of creating passages and controlling for text difficulty may be questioned, the use of multiple readability formulas provided a means of comparing text. The method used to place passages into the benchmark and progress monitoring positions further ensured an element of randomness, leading to passages that will show actual growth in student reading ability.

## References

- Deno, S. L. (1985). Curriculum-based measurement: The emerging alternative. *Exceptional Children, 52*(3), 219-232.
- Fry, E. (2002). Readability versus leveling. *The Reading Teacher, 56*(3), 286-291.
- Good, R. H. (2006, June). *Understanding text difficulty in measuring students' fluency and comprehension* [PowerPoint Slides]. Presented at the Lexile National Reading Conference, Atlanta, GA.
- Good, R. H., & Kaminski, R. A. (2002). *DIBELS oral reading fluency passages for first through third grades* (Technical Report No. 10). Eugene, OR: University of Oregon.
- Micro Power & Light Co. (2000a). *Readability calculations according to Dale-Chall, Frye, et al.* (Version 3.7e). Dallas, TX: Author.
- Micro Power & Light Co. (2002b). *Readability calculations according to the Spache Formula* (Version 3.7s). Dallas, TX: Author.
- Wolf, M. (Ed.). (2001). *Dyslexia, fluency, and the brain*. Timonium, MD: York Press.
- Wolfe, M., & Nevills, P. (2004). *Building the reading brain, preK-3*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.